

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/191,132	11/13/1998	WALID AHMED	3-39-39-6-13	8292
7:	590 07/16/2003			
09/191,132 11/13/1998 WALID AHMED	EXAMINER			
90 FOREST A	11/13/1998 7590 07/16/2003 M E. LEWIS (ASON & LEWIS ST AVENUE	HOM, SHICK C		HICK C
LOCUST VAL	LEY, NY 11560		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	3 / /
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/16/2003	1 (/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· Advisory Action	09/191,132	AHMED ET AL.	
, Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shick C Hom	2666	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-
HE REPLY FILED 13 June 2003 FAILS TO PLAC nerefore, further action by the applicant is require hal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith andition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of a manination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of thiner: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application ir	1
	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mai			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPI 706.07(f).	expire later than SIX MONTHS from LY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	IPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(e have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by nely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	period of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months af	nding amount of the fee. The appropriated for reply originally set in the final Office	extension; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 June 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	 Appellant's Brief must be fil 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis 	ed within the period set forth in missal of the appeal.	
. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without of	canceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):		
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amer	ndment
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) requapplication in condition for allowance becau		en considered but does NOT place	ce the
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		OLELY to issues which were new	νly
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla	idment(s) a)⊡ will not be ente ims would be rejected is prov	red or b)⊡ will be entered and a ded below or appended.	n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fo	llows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>_</u> .		
. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not persusive; further, the rejected claims have not been canceled.

not emag Remarks Valuably